Application No. Applicant(s) 10/590,045 SUDA, YASUO Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit SHAFIQUL HAQ 1641 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) SHAFIQUL HAQ. (4) (2) Christine Lekutis. Date of Interview: 23 July 2009. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative e}⊠ No. Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: _____. Claim(s) discussed: None. Identification of prior art discussed: None. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. q was not reached. h \times N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants' representative called the Examiner to inform that an un-amended claim set is being subjected to restriction election requirement instead of a amended claim set filed on the same date (8/17/06). The Examiner informed the Attorney that the previous office action (restriction/election requirement of 6/10/09) will be vacated and will be replaced with a new set of restriction/election requirement... (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1641

/Shafiqul Haq/